Individuals and societies assessment criteria: Year 1

Criterion A: Knowing and understanding

Maximum: 8

- i. use vocabulary in context
- ii. demonstrate knowledge and understanding of subject-specific content and concepts, using descriptions, explanations and examples.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1-2	 The student: i. recognizes some vocabulary ii. demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through limited descriptions and/or examples.
3-4	 The student: i. uses some vocabulary ii. demonstrates satisfactory knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through simple descriptions, explanations and/or examples.
5–6	 The student: i. uses considerable relevant vocabulary, often accurately ii. demonstrates substantial knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through descriptions, explanations and examples.
7–8	 The student: i. consistently uses relevant vocabulary accurately ii. demonstrates excellent knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through detailed descriptions, explanations and examples.

Criterion B: Investigating

Maximum: 8

- i. explain the choice of a research question
- ii. follow an action plan to explore a research question
- iii. collect and record relevant information consistent with the research question
- iv. reflect on the process and results of the investigation.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. identifies a research question ii. follows an action plan in a limited way to explore a research question iii. collects and records information, to a limited extent iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results, to a limited extent.
3–4	 The student: i. describes the choice of a research question ii. partially follows an action plan to explore a research question iii. uses a method or methods to collect and record some relevant information iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results with some depth.
5–6	The student: i. describes the choice of a research question in detail ii. mostly follows an action plan to explore a research question iii. uses method(s) to collect and record often relevant information iv. reflects on the research process and results.
7–8	 The student: i. explains the choice of a research question ii. effectively follows an action plan to explore a research question iii. uses methods to collect and record consistently relevant information iv. thoroughly reflects on the research process and results.

Criterion C: Communicating

Maximum: 8

- i. communicate information and ideas with clarity
- ii. organize information and ideas effectively for the task
- iii. list sources of information in a way that follows the task instructions.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. communicates information and ideas in a style that is not always clear ii. organizes information and ideas in a limited way iii. inconsistently lists sources, not following the task instructions.
3-4	The student:i.communicates information and ideas in a way that is somewhat clearii. somewhat organizes information and ideasiii.lists sources in a way that sometimes follows the task instructions.
5–6	The student:i.communicates information and ideas in a way that is mostly clearii. mostly organizes information and ideasiii.lists sources in a way that often follows the task instructions.
7–8	The student:i.communicates information and ideas in a way that is completely clearii.completely organizes information and ideas effectivelyiii.lists sources in a way that always follows the task instructions.

Criterion D: Thinking critically

Maximum: 8

- i. identify the main points of ideas, events, visual representation or arguments
- ii. use information to justify an opinion
- iii. identify and analyse a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose
- iv. identify different views and their implications.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	The student:
	 identifies the main points of ideas, events, visual representation or arguments to a limited extent
1 2	ii. rarely uses information to justify opinions
	iii. identifies the origin and purpose of limited sources/data
	iv. identifies some different views.
	The student:
3-4	i. identifies some main points of ideas, events, visual representation or arguments
	ii. justifies opinions with some information
	iii. identifies the origin and purpose of sources/data
	iv. identifies some different views and suggests some of their implications.
	The student:
5-6	i. identifies the main points of ideas, events, visual representation or arguments
5-0	ii. gives sufficient justification of opinions using information
	iii. identifies the origin and purpose of a range of sources/data
	iv. identifies different views and most of their implications.
	The student:
	i. identifies in detail the main points of ideas, events, visual representation or arguments
7–8	ii. gives detailed justification of opinions using information
	iii. consistently identifies and analyses a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose
	iv. consistently identifies different views and their implications

Individuals and societies assessment criteria: Year 3

Criterion A: Knowing and understanding

Maximum: 8

- i. use a range of terminology in context
- ii. demonstrate knowledge and understanding of subject-specific content and concepts, through descriptions, explanations and examples.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. makes limited use of terminology ii. demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through limited descriptions and/or examples.
3-4	 The student: i. uses some terminology accurately ii. demonstrates satisfactory knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through simple descriptions, explanations and examples.
5–6	 The student: i. uses considerable and relevant terminology accurately ii. demonstrates substantial knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through descriptions, explanations and examples.
7–8	 The student: i. consistently uses a range of terminology accurately ii. demonstrates excellent knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through developed and accurate descriptions, explanations and examples.

Criterion B: Investigating

Maximum: 8

- i. formulate/choose a clear and focused research question, explaining its relevance
- ii. formulate and follow an action plan to investigate a research question
- iii. use methods to collect and record relevant information
- iv. evaluate the process and results of the investigation, with guidance.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. identifies a research question that is clear, focused and relevant ii. formulates a limited action plan or does not follow a plan iii. collects and records limited or sometimes irrelevant information iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results in a limited way.
3-4	 The student: i. formulates/chooses a research question that is clear and focused and describes its relevance ii. formulates and occasionally follows a partial action plan to investigate a research question iii. uses a method(s) to collect and record some relevant information iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results.
5–6	 The student: i. formulates/chooses a clear and focused research question and describes its relevance in detail ii. formulates and mostly follows a sufficiently developed action plan to investigate a research question iii. uses methods to collect and record appropriate relevant information iv. with guidance, evaluates on the research process and results.
7–8	 The student: i. formulates/chooses a clear and focused research question and explains its relevance ii. formulates and effectively follows a consistent action plan to investigate a research question iii. uses methods to collect and record appropriate and varied relevant information iv. with guidance, provides a detailed evaluation of the research process and results.

Criterion C: Communicating

Maximum: 8

- i. communicate information and ideas in a way that is appropriate for the audience and purpose
- ii. structure information and ideas according to the task instructions
- iii. create a reference list and cite sources of information.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is not always appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. organizes information and ideas in a limited way iii. lists sources of information inconsistently.
3-4	 The student: i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is somewhat appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. somewhat organizes information and ideas iii. creates an adequate reference list and sometimes cites sources.
5–6	 The student: i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is mostly appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. mostly structures information and ideas according to the task instructions iii. creates an adequate reference list and usually cites sources.
7–8	 The student: i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is completely appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. structures information and ideas completely according to the task instructions iii. creates a complete reference list and always cites sources.

Criterion D: Thinking critically

Maximum: 8

- i. analyse concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories
- ii. summarize information to make valid, well-supported arguments
- iii. analyse a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, recognizing value and limitations
- iv. recognize different perspectives and explain their implications.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: i. begins to analyse concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories in a limited way ii. begins to identify connections between information to make simple arguments iii. recognizes the origin and purpose of few sources/data as well as nominal value and limitations of sources/data iv. identifies different perspectives.
3–4	 The student: i. completes a simple analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories ii. summarizes information to make some adequate arguments iii. analyses sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, recognizing some value and limitations iv. recognizes different perspectives and suggests some of their implications.
5–6	 The student: i. completes a suitable analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories ii. summarizes information in order to make usually valid arguments iii. analyses sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, usually recognizing value and limitations iv. clearly recognizes different perspectives and describes most of their implications.
7–8	 The student: i. completes a detailed analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories ii. summarizes information to make consistent, well-supported arguments iii. effectively analyses a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, consistently recognizing value and limitations iv. clearly recognizes different perspectives and consistently explains their implications.

- poster/infographic
- speech.

Thinking critically

The final task assesses students' ability to think about and discuss issues, arguments and perspectives through structured questions culminating in an extended piece of writing. Students are also asked to demonstrate knowledge and understanding, either from their course or from information presented in source material.

Individuals and societies subject-specific grade descriptors

Subject-specific grade descriptors serve as an important reference in the assessment process. Through careful analysis of subject-group criteria and the general grade descriptors, they have been written to capture and describe in a single descriptor the performance of students at each grade for each MYP subject group.

For on-screen examination subjects, teachers are required to submit predicted grades. When considering predicted grades, teachers should consider their own assessment of students during MYP 4 and the first part of MYP 5 and allowing for subsequent academic development, teachers are asked to predict the outcome of eAssessment for their students with reference to the subject-specific grade descriptors. This prediction helps the IB to check the alignment between teachers' expectations and the IB's assessment outcome and, as such, forms an essential strategy for ensuring reliable results.

Subject-specific grade descriptors are also the main reference used to select grade boundaries for each discipline in each assessment session. During this process, the grade award team compares student performance against descriptors of achievement at grades 2 and 3; 3 and 4; and 6 and 7 (other boundaries are set at equal intervals between these key transitions). The grade award process is able to compensate for variations in challenge between examinations and in standards applied to marking (both between subjects and for a particular subject across sessions) by setting boundaries for each discipline and examination session, with reference to real student work.

Subject-specific grade descriptors tie eAssessment to criterion-related assessment and to MYP assessment criteria and level descriptors, which put the programme's criterion-related assessment philosophy into practice.

Grade	Descriptor
7	Produces high-quality, frequently insightful work. Communicates comprehensive, nuanced understanding of individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology. Consistently demonstrates sophisticated critical and creative thinking to synthesize and evaluate information and make valid, well-supported arguments. Frequently transfers knowledge and applies skills, with independence and expertise, in a variety of complex classroom and real-world situations.
6	Produces high-quality, occasionally insightful work. Communicates extensive understanding of individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology. Demonstrates critical and creative thinking, frequently with sophistication to synthesize and evaluate information and make valid, well-supported arguments. Transfers knowledge and applies skills, often with independence, in a variety of familiar and unfamiliar classroom and real-world situations.

Grade	Descriptor
5	Produces generally high-quality work. Communicates good understanding of individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology. Demonstrates critical and creative thinking, sometimes with sophistication, to synthesize and evaluate information and make valid, well-supported arguments. Usually transfers knowledge and applies skills, with some independence, in familiar classroom and real-world situations.
4	Produces good-quality work. Communicates basic understanding of most individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology with few misunderstandings and minor gaps. Often demonstrates critical and creative thinking to synthesize and evaluate information and make valid, well-supported arguments. Transfers some knowledge and applies some skills in familiar classroom situations, but requires support in unfamiliar situations.
3	Produces work of an acceptable quality. Communicates basic understanding of many individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology, with occasional significant misunderstandings or gaps. Begins to demonstrate some critical and creative thinking to synthesize and evaluate information to make valid, well- supported arguments. Begins to transfer knowledge and apply skills, requiring support even in familiar classroom situations.
2	Produces work of limited quality. Communicates limited understanding of some individuals and societies concepts, contexts and terminology with significant gaps in understanding. Demonstrates limited evidence of critical or creative thinking. Limited evidence of transfer of knowledge and application of skills.
1	Produces work of a very limited quality. Conveys many significant misunderstandings or lacks understanding of most individuals and societies concepts or contexts. Very rarely demonstrates evidence of critical or creative thinking. Very inflexible, rarely shows evidence of knowledge or skills.